

# ERIC GIBSON

# County of San Diego

#### **DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND LAND USE**

5201 RUFFIN ROAD, SUITE B, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92123-1666 INFORMATION (858) 694-2960 TOLL FREE (800) 411-0017 http://landinfo.sdcounty.ca.gov

October 9, 2008

# CEQA Initial Study - Environmental Checklist Form (Based on the State CEQA Guidelines, Appendix G Rev. 10/04)

1. Title; Project Number(s); Environmental Log Number:

Zoning Ordinance Amendments, POD 08-011, ER Log No. 08-00-005

2. Lead agency name and address:

County of San Diego, Department of Planning and Land Use 5201 Ruffin Road, Suite B, San Diego, CA 92123-1666

- 3. a. Contact Carl Stiehl, Project Manager
  - b. Phone number: (858) 694-2216
  - c. E-mail: Carl.Stiehl@sdcounty.ca.gov.
- 4. Project location:

The proposed amendment would apply to the unincorporated portions of the County of San Diego over which the County has land use jurisdiction.

5. Project Applicant name and address:

County of San Diego, Department of Planning and Land Use 5201 Ruffin Road, Suite B, San Diego, California 92123

6. General Plan Designation

Community Plan: All Community and Subregional Plan Areas

Land Use Designation: Variable Density: Variable

7. Zoning

Use Regulation: Variable
Minimum Lot Size: Variable
Special Area Regulation: Variable

### 8. Description of project:

The project consists of amendments to the San Diego County Zoning Ordinance with minor clarifications, deletions and revisions. The amendments consist of the following:

- Section 1006: would add that the Zoning Ordinance does not apply to County owned property where there is public management of waste.
- Section 1110: would add definitions for Certified Farmers' Market and Farmers'
  Market, Certified. It would also amend the definitions for Borrow Pit, Cemetery,
  Setback, Front Yard and Trailer Coach.
- Section 1545: would amend the Transient Habitation section to include Rental Units which include rental homes, townhomes and condos that are not the primary residence of the person renting it on a daily, weekly or monthly basis.
- Section 4105: would add a note at the end of the section to see sections 6156 and 6160.
- Section 5756: would add Certified Farmers' Market to the Site Plan Required section and describe it as a temporary use.
- Section 6102: would add Certified Farmers' Market to the list of Temporary Uses
- Section 6122: would add a new Certified Farmers' Market section with the zones it is allowed and the limitations to the use, such as the hours of operation, sales area, what may be sold, that enclosure regulations do not apply and that the market must comply with state code.
- Section 6156: would amend the Greenhouse accessory use regulation and add Family Burial Plot as a residential accessory use when a property owner lives in a primary residence on the property.
- Section 6158: would add the Fallbrook Village Zones to the Outdoor Café Seating and Sidewalk Café commercial accessory use regulations.
- Section 6252: would add a sign for a boutique winery to be exempt from sign regulations when under 12 square feet in size.
- Section 6456: would require that the recreational vehicle park manager reside continuously within the park.
- Section 6514: would update the Board Policy number in the last sentence to I-102 instead of I-101.

- Section 6534: would update the Board Policy number in the last sentence to I-102 instead of I-101.
- Section 6557: would add a new Extractive Exception section by taking the Borrow Pit regulations from the definitions and adding these regulations to this new section. The exception regulations remain the same.
- Section 6814: would add Certified Farmers' Market as exempt from the enclosure matrix.
- Section 6903: would add to the lot line location section that lot lines shall not be relocated in conflict with State Code section 66428.a.2
- Section 6975: would add to the Recycled Processing Facility section that on-site sale of end products is allowed incidental to the use of the processing facility.
- Section 7175: would add a new section to state that a minor deviation or modification to a site plan is not required with established setbacks for an accessory structure or use listed in sections 6150-6199.
- Section 7354: would amend the Use Permit section to state that the plot plan must show all structures on the property.
- Section 7366: would amend the filing timing subsection of the appeal section to indicate that any appeal must be filed before the close of business on the 10<sup>th</sup> day or must be postmarked with the date of the 10<sup>th</sup> day.
- Section 7609: would add Administrative Permit to the Minor Deviation regulations.

See the attached Amendments to the Zoning Ordinance

9. Surrounding land uses and setting (Briefly describe the project's surroundings):

San Diego County is bordered on the west by the Pacific Ocean, to the east by Imperial County, to the north by Orange and Riverside Counties, and to the south by Mexico. The County terrain varies from west to east, sloping up from the ocean, transitioning to rolling hills and then steep mountains that finally give way to flat to gently sloping deserts.

The County is a generally semi-arid environment and supports a wide range of habitats and biological communities. These habitats and communities range from grasslands to shrublands to coniferous forests. Additionally, these habitats and communities vary greatly depending on the ecoregion, soils and substrate, elevation and topography.

The urban areas of the County are predominantly in the west, either surrounding the City of San Diego, or interspersed between the City of San Diego and the

POD 08-011, Log No. 08-00-005

cities in Orange and Riverside Counties. Further east, the land is less developed, with the largest developed area in the eastern portion of the County being the community of Borrego Springs. The eastern portion of the County is unincorporated and mostly undeveloped. The areas that have been developed in the eastern portion of the County have been predominantly developed in a rural fashion, with large lot sizes, agricultural or related uses, and have limited infrastructure and service availability.

The County is serviced by the Interstates 5, 15, and 805 that all run north and south throughout the western portion of the County and Interstate 8 that runs east and west throughout the southern portion of the County. Additionally, the County is serviced by State Highways 76, 78 and 94 that all run east and west across the County and State Highways 67, 79 and 163 that all run north and south across the County.

10. Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financing approval, or participation agreement):

Permit Type/Action	<u>Agency</u>
None	County of San Diego

**ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:** The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project and involve at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" or a "Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated," as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

□ <u>Aesthetics</u>	☐ Agricultural Resources	☐ Air Quality
☐ <u>Biological Resources</u>	☐ Cultural Resources	☐ Geology & Soils
☐ <u>Hazards &amp; Haz. Materials</u>	☐ <u>Hydrology &amp; Water</u> Quality	□ Land Use & Planning
☐ Mineral Resources	□ Noise	□ Population & Housing
□ Public Services	□ Recreation	☐ <u>Transportation/Traffic</u>
☐ <u>Utilities &amp; Service</u> Systems	☐ Mandatory Findings of Sign	<u>nificance</u>

**DETERMINATION:** (To be completed by the Lead Agency) On the basis of this initial evaluation:

Ø	On the basis of this Initial Study, the Department of Planning and Land Use finds that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.			
	On the basis of this Initial Study, the Department of Planning and Land Use finds that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.			
	On the basis of this Initial Study, the Department of Planning and Land Use finds that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.			
Sign	ature	Date		
•	0.1.1			
	Stiehl	Land Use/Environmental Planner		
Print	ed Name	Title		

## POD 08-011, Log No. 08-00-005

#### INSTRUCTIONS ON EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

- 1. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2. All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 3. Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4. "Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level.
- 5. Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
  - a) Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
  - b) Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
  - c) Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures that were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6. Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- 7. The explanation of each issue should identify:
  - a) The significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each guestion; and
  - b) The mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance

POD 08	Initial Study, - 7 3-011, Log No. 08-00-005 THETICS Would the project: Have a substantial adverse effect on	7 - a scenic	October 9, 2008
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation	on	Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discuss	sion/Explanation:		
Less Than Significant Impact: The project proposes Amendments to the County of San Diego Zoning Ordinance to add new uses, make minor revisions, corrections and clarifications. As described in the attached Zoning Ordinance Amendments, uses allowed pursuant to these Amendments may be located near or within the viewshed of a scenic vista. A vista is a view from a particular location or composite views along a roadway or trail. Scenic vistas often refer to views of natural lands, but may also be compositions of natural and developed areas, or even entirely of developed and unnatural areas, such as a scenic vista of a rural town and surrounding agricultural lands. The uses allowed pursuant to these Amendments will not have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista because these uses conform to the landform and match the existing developed areas of a view shed. For example, a Farmers' Market would be located in a commercial zone and therefore would be consistent with the surrounding visual character. These uses would also be required to comply with existing height and setback limitations and therefore would have the same effect that other accessory or temporary uses would have in the same zone. Therefore, the proposed project will not have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista.			
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?			
	Potentially Significant Impact	$\checkmark$	Less than Significant Impact
	Less Than Significant With Mitigatic Incorporated	'n 🗆	No Impact
Discussion/Explanation:			

Less Than Significant Impact: The project proposes Amendments to the County of San Diego Zoning Ordinance to add new uses, make minor revisions, corrections and clarifications. As described in the attached Zoning Ordinance Amendments, uses allowed pursuant to these Amendments may be located near or visible within the viewshed of a State scenic highway. State scenic highways refer to those highways that are officially designated by the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) as scenic. Generally, the area defined within a State scenic highway is the land adjacent to and visible from the vehicular right-of-way. The uses will be compatible with the existing visual environment's in terms of visual character and quality because these uses will be found in already developed areas. Additionally, these future uses would be required to comply with existing height and setback limitations and therefore would have the same effect other accessory and temporary uses have in the same zone.

CEQA Initial Study, - 8 - POD 08-011, Log No. 08-00-005		October 9, 2008	
Therefore, the project will not result in any adve a scenic resource within a State scenic highway		project or cumulative level effect on	
c) Substantially degrade the existing visua surroundings?	l char	acter or quality of the site and its	
<ul><li>Potentially Significant Impact</li><li>Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated</li></ul>		Less than Significant Impact No Impact	
Discussion/Explanation:			
Less Than Significant Impact: The project pr San Diego Zoning Ordinance to add new uses, clarifications. Visual character is the objective within a viewshed. Future uses allowed pursual degrade the existing visual character or quality developed areas and they would conform to the project will not result in any adverse project or character or quality on-site or in the surrounding	, make comp ant to as th e exis cumu	e minor revisions, corrections and osition of the visible landscape these Amendments will not e uses will be found in already ting landform. Therefore, the lative level effect on visual	
d) Create a new source of substantial light day or nighttime views in the area?	or gla	are, which would adversely affect	
Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation		Less than Significant Impact	
Incorporated		No Impact	
Discussion/Explanation:			
Less Than Significant Impact: These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. The future uses allowed pursuant to these amendments are not anticipated to create a new source of substantial light or glare that could adversely affect day or nighttime views. The future uses allowed pursuant to these Amendments may use outdoor lighting and could be located within Zone A or B as identified by the San Diego County Light Pollution Code. However, the uses will not adversely affect nighttime views or astronomical observations, because they will conform to the Light Pollution Code (Section 59.101-59.115), including the required lamp type and shielding requirements per fixture and			

hours of operation limitations for outdoor lighting and searchlights. Any future outdoor lighting pursuant to this project shall be required to meet the requirements of the County of San Diego Zoning Ordinance (Section 6322-6326). Therefore, compliance with the Ordinance and Code ensures that the project will not create a significant new source of substantial light or glare, which would adversely affect daytime or nighttime views in the

**II. AGRICULTURAL RESOURCES** -- Would the project:

area.

No Impact

Discussion/Explanation:

Incorporated

CEQA Initial Study, POD 08-011 Log No. 08-00-005	- 10 -	October 9, 2008	
POD 08-011, Log No. 08-00-005 <b>No Impact:</b> These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. The future uses allowed pursuant to these Zoning Ordinance Amendments, will have no impact on changes to the existing environment to a non-agricultural use because these uses are compatible with agriculture. Therefore, no Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, Farmland of Statewide or Local Importance, or active agricultural operations will be converted to a non-agricultural use.			
III. AIR QUALITY Where avail applicable air quality managemen make the following determinations	nt or air pollution control di		
a) Conflict with or obstruct important Strategy (RAQS) or application		riego Regional Air Quality mplementation Plan (SIP)?	
Potentially Significant Imp	·	than Significant Impact	
Less Than Significant William Incorporated	th Mitigation   No Im	npact	
Discussion/Explanation:			
Less Than Significant Impact:	These Amendments inclu	de new uses, minor	

Less Than Significant Impact: These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. Because the Zoning Ordinance Amendments propose residential and commercial uses, the project proposes development that was anticipated in SANDAG growth projections used in development of the RAQS and SIP. Operation of the future uses could result in emissions of ozone precursors that were considered as a part of the RAQS based on growth projections. As such, the proposed project is not expected to conflict with either the RAQS or the SIP. In addition, the operational emissions from the project are below the screening levels, and subsequently will not violate ambient air quality standards.

b)	Violate any air quality standard or contriprojected air quality violation?	bute s	substantially to an existing or
	Potentially Significant Impact	$\checkmark$	Less than Significant Impact
	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		No Impact

Discussion/Explanation:

Less Than Significant Impact: These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. In general, air quality impacts from land use projects are the result of emissions from motor vehicles, and from short-term construction activities associated with such projects. The San Diego County Land Use Environment Group (LUEG) has established guidelines for determining significance which incorporate the Air Pollution Control District's (SDAPCD) established screening-level criteria for all new source review (NSR) in APCD Rule 20.2.

CEQA Initial Study, - 11 - October 9, 2008 POD 08-011, Log No. 08-00-005

These screening-level criteria can be used as numeric methods to demonstrate that a project's total emissions (e.g. stationary and fugitive emissions, as well as emissions from mobile sources) would not result in a significant impact to air quality. Since APCD does not have screening-level criteria for emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs), the use of the screening level for reactive organic compounds (ROC) from the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) for the Coachella Valley (which are more appropriate for the San Diego Air Basin) are used.

The uses allowed pursuant to these Amendments would not promote air pollution. It is not anticipated that any grading will be necessary for these uses. However, any grading operations would be subject to County of San Diego Grading Ordinance, which requires the implementation of dust control measures. Emissions from a construction phase (if any) would be minimal, temporary and localized, resulting in pollutant emissions below the screening-level criteria established by the LUEG guidelines for determining significance. According to the Bay Area Air Quality Management District CEQA Guidelines for Assessing the Air Quality Impacts of Projects and Plans, projects that generate less than 2,000 ADT are below the screening-level criteria established by the LUEG guidelines for determining significance. As such, the project will not violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation. Therefore, the vehicle trip emissions associated with the proposed project are not expected to significantly contribute to an existing or projected air quality violation.

,	Result in a cumulatively considerable newhich the project region is non-attainment ambient air quality standard (including requantitative thresholds for ozone precur	nt und eleasi	der an applicable federal or state ng emissions which exceed
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact

Discussion/Explanation:

Less Than Significant Impact: These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. San Diego County is presently in non-attainment for the 1-hour concentrations under the California Ambient Air Quality Standard (CAAQS) for Ozone (O<sub>3</sub>). San Diego County is also presently in non-attainment for the annual geometric mean and for the 24-hour concentrations of Particulate Matter less than or equal to 10 microns (PM<sub>10</sub>) under the CAAQS. O<sub>3</sub> is formed when volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) react in the presence of sunlight. VOC sources include any source that burns fuels (e.g., gasoline, natural gas, wood, oil); solvents; petroleum processing and storage; and pesticides. Sources of PM<sub>10</sub> in both urban and rural areas include: motor vehicles, wood burning stoves and fireplaces, dust from construction, landfills, agriculture, wildfires, brush/waste burning, and industrial sources of windblown dust from open lands.

The uses allowed pursuant to these Amendments would not promote air pollution. It is not anticipated that any grading will be necessary for these uses. However, air quality

d)

POD 08-011, Log No. 08-00-005

emissions associated with the project could include emissions of  $PM_{10}$ ,  $NO_x$  and VOCs if grading activities take place. However, grading operations associated with the construction a project would be subject to County of San Diego Grading Ordinance, which requires the implementation of dust control measures. Emissions from the construction phase would be minimal, localized and temporary resulting in  $PM_{10}$  and VOC emissions below the screening-level criteria established by the LUEG guidelines for determining significance. According to the Bay Area Air Quality Management District CEQA Guidelines for Assessing the Air Quality Impacts of Projects and Plans, projects that generate less than 2,000 ADT are below the screening-level criteria established by the LUEG guidelines for determining significance. The future uses within a surrounding area in an agricultural or commercial zone, would have emissions below the screening-level criteria established by the LUEG guidelines for determining significance, therefore, the construction and operational emissions associated with the proposed project are not expected to create a cumulatively considerable impact nor a considerable net increase of PM10, or any  $O_3$  precursors.

Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?

,	'	•	
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discus	sion/Explanation:		
revision typically residen with he County children not gen sensitive proposision signification monoxide pecaus	Than Significant Impact: These Amendas, corrections and clarifications to the Zay define sensitive receptors as schools at care facilities, or day-care centers, or ealth conditions that would be adversely of San Diego also considers residence in and the elderly. The future uses allow nerate significant levels of air pollutants. We populations to excessive levels of air e uses or activities that would result in eant pollutant concentrations and will not ide hotspot. In addition, a project will not erable exposure of sensitive receptors to see a proposed project would have emissished by the LUEG guidelines for determinations.	Coning (Presconter III) (Presconter III) (Presconter III) (Presconter III) (Presconter III) (Presconter III) (Presconter IIII) (Presconter IIIII) (Presconter IIII) (Presconter IIII) (Presconter IIII) (Presconter IIII) (Presconter IIII) (Presconter IIII) (Presconter IIIII) (Presconter IIII) (Presconter IIIII) (Presconter IIIII) (Presconter IIIII) (Presconter IIIIIIII) (Presconter IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	Ordinance. Air quality regulators chool-12 <sup>th</sup> Grade), hospitals, facilities that may house individuals sted by changes in air quality. The ensitive receptors since they house rsuant to these Amendments will uch, a project would not expose ants. Similarly, a project would not are of these sensitive receptors to sensitive receptors near a carbon ribute to a cumulatively stantial pollutant concentrations elow the screening-level criteria
e) (	Create objectionable odors affecting a s	ubsta	ntial number of people?
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact

Discussion/Explanation:

**No Impact:** No potential sources of objectionable odors have been identified in association with the future uses allowed by these zoning ordinance amendments. As such, no impact from odors is anticipated.

<u>IV. BI</u> a)	OLOGICAL RESOURCES Would the Have a substantial adverse effect, either on any species identified as a candidate local or regional plans, policies, or regularish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife	direc , sens ations	tly or through habitat modifications, itive, or special status species in , or by the California Department of
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discus	ssion/Explanation:		
Less Than Significant Impact: These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. Some of the future uses allowed by these zoning ordinance amendments could be operated out of existing buildings on developed lots, but would not have an impact on any candidate, sensitive, or special status species. Some future uses may be built on land that contains native habitat and possibly even candidate, sensitive, or special status species. However, all future facilities built pursuant to these Zoning Ordinance Amendments would be required to comply with all existing State and Federal regulations that ensure the protection of candidate, sensitive, or special status species including the Federal Endangered Species Act and the California Endangered Species Act. Furthermore, if a future facility involves substantial landform modification/grading that may have an adverse impact on candidate, sensitive, or special status species, a discretionary grading permit would be required and would require further environmental review. In addition, if clearing of land in preparation for construction of a structure is not specifically exempted, it is subject to Section 87.501 et seq. of the County Code, a discretionary clearing permit would be required and would require further environmental review. Therefore the impact is less than significant.			
b)	Have a substantial adverse effect on any natural community identified in local or re the California Department of Fish and G	egiona	al plans, policies, regulations or by
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact

Discussion/Explanation:

**Less Than Significant Impact:** These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. Some future uses allowed

POD 08-011, Log No. 08-00-005

pursuant to these Zoning Ordinance Amendments could be operated out of existing buildings on developed lots, but would not have an impact on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community. Some future uses may be built on land that contains riparian habitat or other sensitive natural communities as defined by the County of San Diego Multiple Species Conservation Program, County of San Diego Resource Protection Ordinance, Natural Community Conservation Plan, Fish and Game Code, Endangered Species Act, Clean Water Act, or any other local or regional plans, policies or regulations.

However, all future facilities built pursuant to these Zoning Ordinance Amendments would be required to comply with all existing State and Federal regulations that ensure the protection of riparian and sensitive habitat communities including the Federal Endangered Species Act, the California Endangered Species Act, the Federal Clean Water Act and the need for a California Streambed Alteration Agreement. Compliance with the Natural Community Conservation Plan will be required for any project outside of the MSCP that requires a grading or clearing permit and will not impact more than 1 acre of Coastal sage scrub habitat. The projects exempt from the Biological Mitigation Ordinance and the NCCP have been determined in the adoption of these regulations to have a minimal impact on sensitive habitat communities because they do not contribute to long-term conservation goals.

Furthermore, if a future facility involves substantial landform modification/grading that may have an adverse impact on riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community, a discretionary grading permit would be required and would require further environmental review. In addition, if clearing of land in preparation for construction of a structure is not specifically exempted, it is subject to Section 87.501 et seq. of the County Code, a discretionary clearing permit would be required and would require further environmental review. Therefore, project impacts to any riparian habitat or sensitive natural community identified in the County of San Diego Multiple Species Conservation Program, County of San Diego Resource Protection Ordinance, Natural Community Conservation Plan, Fish and Game Code, Endangered Species Act, Clean Water Act, or any other local or regional plans, policies or regulations, are considered less than significant.

,	Have a substantial adverse effect on fed Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (inc pool, coastal, etc.) through direct remov other means?	ludinģ	, but not limited to, marsh, vernal
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact

Discussion/Explanation:

**Less Than Significant Impact:** These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. The future uses allowed pursuant to these amendments will not have a substantial adverse effect on federally

CEQA I	Initial Study, -	15 -	October 9, 2008
protecte involve Zoning regulati Clean V	3-011, Log No. 08-00-005 ed wetlands as these use will be loc landform modification. Any future for the control of the control	acility allo equired to etlands as to, marsh	wed to be built pursuant to these comply with all Federal defined by Section 404 of the vernal pool, coastal, etc.)
Ć	nterfere substantially with the move or wildlife species or with established corridors, or impede the use of nativ	d native re	esident or migratory wildlife
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigati Incorporated	on $\square$	Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discuss	sion/Explanation:		
correction operate the move establishment wildlife no land native hasites. Frequired protection of the construction of the con	ons and clarifications to the Zoning ed out of existing buildings on development of any native resident or mighed native resident or migratory will nursery sites because these uses we form modification occuring. Some f	Ordinance oped lots, gratory fish dife corridge will be four uture use at provide suant to the federal of the cies	but would not have an impact on h or wildlife species or with dors, or impede the use of native and in already developed areas with s may be built on land that contains as corridors or native wildlife nursery hese Amendments would be all regulations that ensure the ife or with corridors and nursery and the California Endangered as substantial landform act on corridors or native wildlife e required and would require of land in preparation for ed, it is subject to Section 87.501 et rmit would be required and would project will not result in substantial
, (	Conflict with the provisions of any accommunities Conservation Plan, other local resources?	ner approv	ved local, regional or state habitat
	Potentially Significant Impact	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Less than Significant Impact

No Impact

Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated

Discussion/Explanation:

Less Than Significant Impact: These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. The proposed Amendments are not subject to the regulations of the Biological Mitigation Ordinance [per Section 86.503(a)(3)], the Resource Protection Ordinance (per Article III.1) or the Habitat Loss Permit ordinance because a Zoning Ordinance Amendment is not considered a land development permit. Refer to the attached Ordinance Compliance Checklist dated September 19, 2008 for further information on consistency with any adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Communities Conservation Plan, other approved local, regional or state habitat conservation plan, including, Habitat Management Plans (HMP), Special Area Management Plans (SAMP), or any other local policies or ordinances that protect biological resources including the Multiple Species Conservation Program (MSCP), Biological Mitigation Ordinance, Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO), Habitat Loss Permit (HLP).

a) (	TURAL RESOURCES Would the pro Cause a substantial adverse change in t as defined in 15064.5?	•	gnificance of a historical resource
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discuss	sion/Explanation:		

Less Than Significant Impact: These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. Some future uses allowed pursuant to these Zoning Ordinance Amendments will be operated out of existing buildings on developed lots and will not require any alteration to structures that would cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. If any future use involved significant landform modification to create a foundation for a future facility, a discretionary grading permit and further environmental review would be required. If any future use did not involve landform modification, or, did not require a grading or clearing permit, any potentially significant historic resources would be preserved in place and would not result in a significant impact.

b)	Cause a substantial adverse change in resource pursuant to 15064.5?	the si	gnificance of an archaeological
	Potentially Significant Impact	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Less than Significant Impact
	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		No Impact

Discussion/Explanation:

CEQA Initial Study,	- 17 -	October 9, 2008
POD 08-011, Log No. 08-00-005		

Less Than Significant Impact: These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. Some future uses allowed pursuant to these Zoning Ordinance Amendments will be operated out of existing buildings on developed lots. No landform modification is anticipated as these uses will be allowed on lots that are already developed. Therefore, no substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource would occur as archaeological resources will remain in place.

c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique geologic feature?					
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation	$\square$	Less than Significant Impact No Impact		
_	Incorporated	_			
Less To correction geological structures geological modification geological mo	Discussion/Explanation:  Less Than Significant Impact: These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. San Diego County has a variety of geologic environments and geologic processes which generally occur in other parts of the state, country, and the world. However, some features stand out as being unique in one way or another within the boundaries of the County. Some future uses allowed oursuant to these Zoning Ordinance Amendments may be operated out of existing ouildings or temporary structures on developed lots and will not require any alteration to structures that would destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature. If any future use involved significant landform modification a discretionary grading permit and further environmental review would be required. At that time, a site evaluation could be conducted to measure the potential significant impact the project may have on a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature. Second, if any future use did not involve significant landform modification, or, did not require a grading or clearing permit, and subsequently did not require a discretionary grading permit any potentially significant paleontological or geologic resources would be preserved in place and would not result in a significant				
d) [	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique pa	leonto	ological resource or site?		
	Potentially Significant Impact	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Less than Significant Impact		
	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		No Impact		

Discussion/Explanation:

**Less Than Significant Impact:** These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. A future use allowed pursuant to these Zoning Ordinance Amendments may be located on geological formations that potentially contain unique paleontological resources. No excavating into undisturbed ground beneath the soil horizons is anticipated since the future uses

	nitial Study, - 18 3-011, Log No. 08-00-005	-	October 9, 2008
allowed grading monitor	should not require grading. However, the future use would be subject to the ing as required pursuant to the County ments will not directly or indirectly destrict.	requii 's Gra	rements for paleontological ding Ordinance. Therefore, these
,	Disturb any human remains, including t cemeteries?	hose ir	nterred outside of formal
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discuss	sion/Explanation:		
revision remains Zoning structur disturb discretion that time impact that archaected archaected in the control of the control	han Significant Impact: These Amen is, corrections and clarifications to the 2 may exist on a project site. Some future of the second expendence of the second expendence of the second expendence of the project may have on human remains of formal cemeteries.	Zoning ure uso ed out re any lived sinment to me	Ordinance. Interred human es allowed pursuant to these of existing buildings or temporary alteration to structures that would gnificant landform modification, a cal review would be required. At easure the potential significant erefore a future use will not impact
a) E	OLOGY AND SOILS Would the project spose people or structures to potential isk of loss, injury, or death involving:		antial adverse effects, including the
i.		Zoning ıbstant	Map issued by the State Geologist tial evidence of a known fault?
	Potentially Significant Impact	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Less than Significant Impact
	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		No Impact
Discuss	sion/Explanation:		
	han Significant Impact: These Amerals, corrections and clarifications to the 2		

pursuant to these Zoning Ordinance Amendments may be located within a fault-rupture hazard zone as identified by the Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Act, Special Publication 42 (SP 42), Revised 1997, Fault-Rupture Hazards Zones in California or

CEQA Initial Study,	- 19 -	October 9, 2008
POD 08-011, Log No. 08-00-005		
within an area with substantial e	vidence of a known fault.	However, any structures that

within an area with substantial evidence of a known fault. However, any structures that will be built will be required to comply with the County Building Code requirements. Included in the County Building Code are requirements that address seismic events through engineering requirements prior to the issuance of a building permit. Therefore, there will be no potentially significant impact from the exposure of people or structures to a known fault-rupture hazard zone as a result of this project.

i	i. Strong seismic ground shaking?	
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant Impact No Impact

Discussion/Explanation:

Less Than Significant Impact: These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. Some future uses allowed pursuant to these Zoning Ordinance Amendments may be located within 5 kilometers of the centerline of a known active-fault zone as defined within the Uniform Building Code's Maps of Known Active Fault Near-Source Zones in California. To ensure the structural integrity of all buildings and structures, any future structures located in these areas must conform to the Seismic Requirements -- Chapter 16 Section 162- Earthquake Design as outlined within the California Building Code. Section 162 requires a soils compaction report with proposed foundation recommendations to be approved by a County Structural Engineer before the issuance of a building or grading permit. Therefore, compliance with the California Building Code and the County Code ensures the project will not result in a potentially significant impact from the exposure of people or structures to potential adverse effects from strong seismic ground shaking.

İ	ii. Seismic-related ground failure, in	cludin	g liquefaction?
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact

Discussion/Explanation:

## **Less Than Significant Impact:**

These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. Some future uses allowed pursuant to these Zoning Ordinance Amendments may be located on soils subject to liquefaction. To ensure the structural integrity of all buildings and structures, any future structures located in these areas must conform to the Seismic Requirements -- Chapter 16 Section 162- Earthquake Design as outlined within the California Building Code. Section 162 requires a soils compaction report with proposed foundation recommendations to be approved by a County Structural Engineer before the issuance of a building or grading permit. Therefore, there will be no potentially significant impact from the exposure of people or structures

POD 08 to poter	Initial Study, 8-011, Log No. 08-00-005 ntial adverse effects from seismic-	- 20 - -related	grou	October 9, 2008 and failure as a result of this
project. i	iv. Landslides?			
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitig Incorporated			Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discuss	sion/Explanation:			
revisior involved to poted would be projects Regular provide soil con Therefo	ntial substantial adverse effects from the control of the control	o the Zo n/gradir om land her envi comply se Regu t recom the gra nificant	oning ing that dslide ironm with ulatior imend ading impa	Ordinance. If a future facility at may expose people or structures as, a discretionary grading permit mental review. Additionally, future the San Diego County Code of as, Division 7, Section 87.209 and dations to correct weak or unstable plan and specifications.
b) F	Result in substantial soil erosion o	r the lo	ss of	topsoil?
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigan Incorporated			Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discuss	sion/Explanation:			
Less Than Significant Impact: These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. According to the Soil Survey of San Diego County, soils throughout San Diego County are identified as				

Less Than Significant Impact: These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. According to the Soil Survey of San Diego County, soils throughout San Diego County are identified as having a soil erodibility rating of "slight" "moderate" and/or "severe" as indicated by the Soil Survey for the San Diego Area, prepared by the US Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation and Forest Service dated December 1973. However, the development of future uses allowed pursuant to these Zoning Ordinance Amendments will not result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil because any project that involves grading is required to comply with the San Diego County Code of Regulations, Title 8, Zoning and Land Use Regulations, Division 7, Sections 87.414 (DRAINAGE - EROSION PREVENTION) and 87.417 (PLANTING). Compliance with these regulations minimizes the potential for water and wind erosion.

c) Will the project produce unstable geological conditions that will result in adverse impacts resulting from landslides, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?

	Initial Study, 98-011, Log No. 08-00-005	- 21 -		October 9, 2008
	Potentially Significant Impact		$\checkmark$	Less than Significant Impact
	Less Than Significant With Mitiga Incorporated	ation		No Impact
Discus	ssion/Explanation:			
revisio pursua	Than Significant Impact: These Ans, corrections and clarifications to ant to these Zoning Ordinance Ameg. For further information refer to V	the Z	oning nts ma	Ordinance. A future use allowed ay include site disturbance and
d)	Be located on expansive soil, as do Code (1994), creating substantial r			
	Potentially Significant Impact		$\overline{\checkmark}$	Less than Significant Impact
	Less Than Significant With Mitiga Incorporated	ation		No Impact
Discus	ssion/Explanation:			
revision pursua define will no the implemental the implemental Expan	d within Table 18-I-B of the Uniform t have any significant impacts beca provement requirements identified i gn Standard for Design of Slab-On- sive Soils and Compressible Soils, cpansive soils. Therefore, these so	the Zondment Build ause alin the Ground which	oning nts ma ing Co I new 1997 nd Foo ensu	Ordinance. A future use allowed ay be located on expansive soils as ode (1994). However the project construction is required to comply Uniform Building Code, Division III undations to Resist the Effects of re suitable structure safety in areas
e)	Have soils incapable of adequately alternative wastewater disposal sydisposal of wastewater?		_	•
	Potentially Significant Impact			Less than Significant Impact
	Less Than Significant With Mitiga Incorporated	ation	$\checkmark$	No Impact
	. <u> </u>			

Discussion/Explanation:

**No Impact:** These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. The future uses allowed pursuant to these zoning ordinance amendments do not rely on septic tanks or wastewater disposal . The project does not propose any septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems since no wastewater will be generated.

Discussion/Explanation:

# VII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS -- Would the project:

a)	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, storage, use, or disposal of hazardous materials or wastes or through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?				
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less than Significant Impact		
	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No Impact		
Discus	ssion/Explanation:				
clarific Zoning enviro dispos curren	pact: These Amendments include new usertions to the Zoning Ordinance. The future of Ordinance Amendments will not create nament because they do not propose the seal of Hazardous Substances, nor would lately in use in the immediate vicinity. There is of hazardous materials into the environce	ire us a sigi storaç Hazai efore	ses allowed pursuant to these nificant hazard to the public or the ge, use, transport, emission, or dous Substances be proposed or the uses would not involve the		
b)	Emit hazardous emissions or handle haz substances, or waste within one-quarter		•		
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact		
Discus	ssion/Explanation:				
clarific one-q handli	pact: These Amendments include new cations to the Zoning Ordinance. Althouguarter mile of an existing or proposed sching, storage, or transport of hazardous many effect on an existing or proposed sching.	h a fu lool, a ateria	ture use may be located within a project would not propose the		
c) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5, or is otherwise known to have been subject to a release of hazardous substances and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?					
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact		

Less than Significant Impact: These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. The project site for a future use may have been subject to a release of hazardous substances that would create a significant hazard to the public or environment. The project site may be included in any of the following lists or databases: the State of California Hazardous Waste and Substances sites list compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5. However, the project will not create significant hazard to the public or the environment because if a property is on the list, the County will not issue any necessary permits until any significant hazard has been referred to and remediated to the satisfaction of the Department of Environmental Health. Future uses may be required to obtain necessary permits, or in the cases of a Farmers' Market or Family Burial Plot some development of the site will have already occurred and the lists or databases would have been checked. Therefore, because remediation of the site will occur or the site would have already been checked, the project will not create a significant hazard to the public or the environment and will not contribute to a cumulatively considerable impact.

d)	not been adopted, within two miles of a the project result in a safety hazard for parea?	public	airport or public use airport, would
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact

Discussion/Explanation:

## **Less Than Significant Impact:**

These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. A future use allowed pursuant to these Amendments may be located within an Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP) or Comprehensive Land Use Plan (CLUP) for an airport. However, the proposed project will not result in hazards to airport safety or surrounding land uses for the following reasons:

- A use would not propose any distracting visual hazards including but not limited to distracting lights, glare, sources of smoke or other obstacles or an electronic hazard that would interfere with aircraft instruments or radio communications. A Farmers' Market or Family Burial Plot would not contain these visual hazards.
- A use would not propose construction of any structure equal to or greater than 150 feet in height, constituting a safety hazard to aircraft and/or operations from an airport or heliport. On the contrary, all future uses would be required to meet the height limit of the zone, which is less than 150 feet.
- The project does not propose any artificial bird attractor, including but not limited to reservoirs, golf courses with water hazards, large detention and retention basins, wetlands, landscaping with water features, wildlife refuges, or agriculture (especially cereal grains).

	Initial Study, - 2	4 -	October 9, 2008			
Theref	08-011, Log No. 08-00-005 fore, the project will not constitute a sa project area.	fety haz	ard for people residing or working			
e)	For a project within the vicinity of a presafety hazard for people residing or w		• •			
	Potentially Significant Impact	<u> </u>	Less than Significant Impact			
	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	'n 🗆	No Impact			
Discus	ssion/Explanation:					
revisio pursua	Than Significant Impact: These Americans, corrections and clarifications to the ant to these Amendments may be located wer, the future wineries will not impact	e Zoning ted withi this area	Ordinance. A future use allowed in the vicinity of a private airstrip. a for the following reasons:			
•	<ul> <li>A use would not propose any distracting visual hazards including but not limited to distracting lights, glare, sources of smoke or other obstacles or an electronic hazard that would interfere with aircraft instruments or radio communications. A Farmers' Market or Family Burial Plot would not contain these visual hazards. Therefore, the project complies with the Federal Aviation Administration Runway Approach Protection Standards (Federal Aviation Regulations, Part 77 – Objects Affecting Navigable Airspace).</li> </ul>					
•	A use would not propose construction 150 feet in height, constituting a safet an airport or heliport. On the contrary the height limit of the zone, which is le	y hazaro , all futu	d to aircraft and/or operations from re uses would be required to meet			
•	The project does not propose any artito reservoirs, golf courses with water basins, wetlands, landscaping with water (especially cereal grains).	hazards	, large detention and retention			
	fore, the project will not constitute a sa project area.	fety haz	ard for people residing or working			
f)	Impair implementation of or physically response plan or emergency evacuat		•			
	Potentially Significant Impact	$\checkmark$	Less than Significant Impact			
	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	n 🗆	No Impact			
Discus	ssion/Explanation:					

The following sections summarize the project's consistency with applicable emergency response plans or emergency evacuation plans.

POD 08-011, Log No. 08-00-005

 i. OPERATIONAL AREA EMERGENCY PLAN AND MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN:

October 9, 2008

Less Than Significant Impact: These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. The Operational Area Emergency Plan is a comprehensive emergency plan that defines responsibilities, establishes an emergency organization, defines lines of communications, and is designed to be part of the statewide Standardized Emergency Management System. The Operational Area Emergency Plan provides guidance for emergency planning and requires subsequent plans to be established by each jurisdiction that has responsibilities in a disaster situation. The Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan includes an overview of the risk assessment process, identifies hazards present in the jurisdiction, hazard profiles, and vulnerability assessments. The plan also identifies goals, objectives and actions for each jurisdiction in the County of San Diego, including all cities and the County unincorporated areas. These Amendments will not interfere with this plan because they will not prohibit subsequent plans from being established or prevent the goals and objectives of existing plans from being carried out.

ii. SAN DIEGO COUNTY NUCLEAR POWER STATION EMERGENCY RESPONSE PLAN

**No Impact:** These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. The San Diego County Nuclear Power Station Emergency Response Plan will not be interfered with by these amendments due to the location of a project, the plant and the specific requirements of the plan. The emergency plan for the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station includes an emergency planning zone within a 10-mile radius. All land area within 10 miles of the plant is not within the jurisdiction of the unincorporated County and as such a project in the unincorporated area is not expected to interfere with any response or evacuation.

#### iii. OIL SPILL CONTINGENCY ELEMENT

**No Impact:** These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. The Oil Spill Contingency Element will not be interfered with because a project would not be located along the coastal zone or coastline.

iv. EMERGENCY WATER CONTINGENCIES ANNEX AND ENERGY SHORTAGE RESPONSE PLAN

**No Impact:** These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. The Emergency Water Contingencies Annex and Energy Shortage Response Plan will not be interfered with because a project would not propose altering major water or energy supply infrastructure, such as the California Aqueduct.

v. DAM EVACUATION PLAN

POD 08-011, Log No. 08-00-005

Less Than Significant Impact: These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. The Dam Evacuation Plan will not be interfered with because even though a future use may be located within a dam inundation zone, a project would not be a unique institution that would be difficult to safely evaluate in the event of a dam failure. Unique institutions, as defined by the Office of Emergency Services, include hospitals, schools, skilled nursing facilities, retirement homes, mental health care facilities, care facilities for patients with disabilities, adult and childcare facilities, jails/detention facilities, stadiums, arenas, amphitheaters, or a similar use. Since the uses allowed pursuant to these amendments would not propose a unique institution in a dam inundation zone, the project would not impair implementation of or physically interfere with the implementation of an emergency response plan.

g)	Expose people or structures to a signific wildland fires, including where wildlands where residences are intermixed with w	are a	djacent to urbanized areas or
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact

Discussion/Explanation:

Less Than Significant Impact: These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. Future uses allowed pursuant to these Amendments may be located in most of the zones of the county including commercial, agricultural and residential areas throughout the unincorporated areas of the County that are in a variety of settings. Each will be addressed below.

Future uses may be located in areas that are completely surrounded by urbanized areas, and/or irrigated lands and there are no adjacent wildland areas. Therefore, based on the location of the project; it is not anticipated that the project will expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving hazardous wildland fires.

Some future uses may be located within and served by independent fire protection districts and may also be located adjacent to wildlands that have the potential to support wildland fires. However, the project will not expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires because the project will comply with the regulations relating to emergency access, water supply, and defensible space specified in the Consolidated Fire Code for the 17 Fire Protection Districts in San Diego County and Appendix II-A, as adopted and amended by the local fire protection district. Implementation of these fire safety standards will occur during the building permit process. Therefore, through compliance with the Consolidated Fire Code and Appendix II-A and through compliance with the applicable fire protection district's conditions, it is not anticipated that the project will expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving hazardous wildland fires. Moreover, the project will not

contribute to a cumulatively considerable impact, because all past, present and future projects in the surrounding area required to comply with the Consolidated Fire Code and Appendix II-A.

Some future uses may be located within and served by a County service area fire protection district and may also be located adjacent to wildlands that have the potential to support wildland fires. However, the project will not expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires because the project will comply with the regulations relating to emergency access, water supply, and defensible space specified in the County Code of Regulatory Ordinances, Title 3, Division 5, Chapter 3 and Appendix II-A of the Uniform Fire Code. Implementation of these fire safety standards will occur during the building permit process. Therefore, through compliance with the County Code of Regulatory Ordinances, Title 3, Division 5, Chapter 3 and Appendix II-A of the Uniform Fire Code, and through compliance with the applicable County Service Area Fire Protection District's conditions, it is not anticipated that the project will expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving hazardous wildland fires. Moreover, the project will not contribute to a cumulatively considerable impact, because all past, present and future projects in the surrounding area are required to comply with the County Code of Regulatory Ordinances and the Uniform Fire Code.

Some future uses may be located within State Responsibility Areas and served by CALFIRE (California Department of Forestry) and may also be located adjacent to wildlands that have the potential to support wildland fires. However, the project will not expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires because the project will comply with the regulations relating to emergency access, water supply, and defensible space specified in Public Resources Code Sections 4290 and 4291. Implementation of these fire safety standards will occur during the building permit process. Therefore, through compliance with the Public Resources Code Sections 4290 and 4291; and through compliance with the California Department of Forestry's conditions, it is not anticipated that the project will expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving hazardous wildland fires. Moreover, the project will not contribute to a cumulatively considerable impact, because all past, present and future projects in the surrounding area are required to comply with Public Resources Code Sections 4290 and 4291 and the Uniform Fire Code.

h)	Propose a use, or place residents adjact foreseeable use that would substantially exposure to vectors, including mosquito transmitting significant public health dise	incre es, ra	ase current or future resident's ts or flies, which are capable of
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact

Discussion/Explanation:

CEQA Initial Study, - 28 - October 9, 2008

POD 08-011, Log No. 08-00-005

**No Impact:** These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. The uses allowed pursuant to these Amendments are not uses that allow water to stand for a period of 72 hours (3 days) or more (e.g. artificial lakes, agricultural irrigation ponds). Also, these uses are not uses that will produce or collect animal waste, such as equestrian facilities, agricultural operations (chicken coops, dairies etc.), a solid waste facility or other similar uses. Therefore, the project will not substantially increase current or future resident's exposure to vectors, including mosquitoes, rats or flies.

exposure to vestors, instruming mesquitoes, rate or mes.				
VIII. H	YDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY	Woul	ld the project:	
a) \	Violate any waste discharge requiremen	its?		
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact	
Discuss	sion/Explanation:			
clarifica Amend require Region propose special or treat	pact: These Amendments include new varions to the Zoning Ordinance. The future ments, do not propose waste discharge ment permits, NPDES permits, or water al Water Quality Control Board (SDRWG) e any known sources of polluted runoff of site design considerations, source contiment control BMPs, under the San Dieg/QCB Order No. 2001-01).	ure us s that qualit QCB). or land	es allowed pursuant to these require waste discharge by certification from the San Diego In addition, these uses do not duse activities that would require st Management Practices (BMPs)	
ĺ	Is the project tributary to an already impartment of the project tributary to an already impartment of the water body is already in the water body in the water body is already impartment.	ıld the	project result in an increase in any	
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact	

Discussion/Explanation:

**No Impact:** These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. These future uses do not propose waste discharges that require waste discharge requirement permits, NPDES permits, or water quality certification from the San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board (SDRWQCB). In addition, these uses do not propose any known sources of polluted runoff or land use activities that would require special site design considerations, source control Best Management Practices (BMPs) or treatment control BMPs, under the San Diego Municipal Storm Water Permit (SDRWQCB Order No. 2001-01). Therefore,

	Initial Study, 3-011, Log No. 08-00-005	- 29 -		October 9, 2008
future u	ises allowed pursuant to these Zoi y to an already impaired water boo	_	Ordina	nce Amendments would not be
	Could the proposed project cause surface or groundwater receiving voeneficial uses?			
	Potentially Significant Impact			Less than Significant Impact
	Less Than Significant With Mitiga Incorporated	ation	$\checkmark$	No Impact
Discuss	sion/Explanation:			
clarifica Amendi project	<b>Pact:</b> These Amendments include ations to the Zoning Ordinance. Furthernoon to the Zoning Ordinance. Furthernoon to the Zoning Ordinance and known does not propose new storm water natural drainage features that wo	uture i sourd er draii	uses a ces of nage f	allowed pursuant to these polluted runoff. In addition the facilities, nor does the project site
, () 6	a lowering of the local groundwate	ere w r table o a lev	ould be level level	e a net deficit in aquifer volume or (e.g., the production rate of pre- ich would not support existing land
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitiga Incorporated	ation		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discuss	sion/Explanation:			

No Impact: These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. The future uses allowed pursuant to these Amendments will not rely on groundwater. The project will not use any groundwater for any purpose, including irrigation, domestic or commercial demands. In addition, the project does not involve operations that would interfere substantially with groundwater recharge including, but not limited to the following: the project does not involve regional diversion of water to another groundwater basin; or diversion or channelization of a stream course or waterway with impervious layers, such as concrete lining or culverts, for substantial distances (e.g. 1/4 mile). These activities and operations can substantially affect rates of groundwater recharge. Therefore, no impact to groundwater resources is anticipated.

e) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?

	Initial Study, 3-011, Log No. 08-00-005	- 30 -		October 9, 2008
	Potentially Significant Impact			Less than Significant Impact
	Less Than Significant With Mitiga Incorporated	ation	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No Impact
Discuss	sion/Explanation:			
clarifica do not i drainag stream	nvolve construction of new or exp e pattern of the site or area, inclu-	he use anded ding the result	es allo d deve nrough in sul	wed pursuant these Amendments elopment that could alter the nation of the course of a bstantial erosion or siltation on- or
t	Substantially alter the existing drain hrough the alteration of the course he rate or amount of surface rund on- or off-site?	e of a	strear	m or river, or substantially increase
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitiga Incorporated	ation		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discuss	sion/Explanation:			
clarifica Amenda alter the course in a ma	pact: These Amendments include ations to the Zoning Ordinance. The ments do not involve construction a drainage pattern of the site or are of a stream or river, or substantial nner which would result in floodinating drainage pattern.	he use of never ea, inc	es allo w or e cludin ease	wed pursuant to these xpanded development that could g through the alteration of the the rate or amount of surface runoff
•	Create or contribute runoff water volanned storm water drainage sys			exceed the capacity of existing or
	Potentially Significant Impact			Less than Significant Impact
	Less Than Significant With Mitigatine Incorporated	ation	$\checkmark$	No Impact
Discuss	sion/Explanation:			
clarifica	<b>Pact:</b> These Amendments include ations to the Zoning Ordinance. The systems proposed by these Am	here a	re no	

h) Provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?

systems.

	Initial Study, 8-011, Log No. 08-00-005	- 31 -		October 9, 2008
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitiga Incorporated	ation		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discuss	sion/Explanation:			
clarifica Amend addition	pact: These Amendments include ations to the Zoning Ordinance. The ments do not propose any known in, the project does not propose new site contain natural drainage features.	ne use addition w stor	es allo onal so m wat	wed pursuant to these ources of polluted runoff. In ter drainage facilities, nor does the
ĺ	,	ice Ra	ate Ma	area as mapped on a federal Flood up or other flood hazard delineation
	Potentially Significant Impact			Less than Significant Impact
	Less Than Significant With Mitiga Incorporated	ation	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No Impact
Discuss	sion/Explanation:			
clarifica Amend	pact: These Amendments include ations to the Zoning Ordinance. The ments would not place housing with act will occur.	ne use	s allo	wed pursuant to these
	Place within a 100-year flood haza redirect flood flows?	rd are	a stru	ctures which would impede or
	Potentially Significant Impact			Less than Significant Impact
	Less Than Significant With Mitiga Incorporated	ation	V	No Impact
Discuss	sion/Explanation:			
<b>No Impact:</b> These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. The uses allowed pursuant to these Amendments would not place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flows; therefore, no impact will occur.				
	Expose people or structures to a s flooding?	ignific	ant ris	k of loss, injury or death involving
	Potentially Significant Impact			Less than Significant Impact

	3-011, Log No. 08-00-005 Less Than Significant With Mitigati	32 - on	<b>V</b>	October 9, 2008  No Impact
	Incorporated		<u>V</u>	Νο πηρασί
Discuss	sion/Explanation:			
clarifica Amend	<b>pact:</b> These Amendments include nations to the Zoning Ordinance. The ments would not expose people to a glooding.	use	s allo	wed pursuant to these Zoning
•	Expose people or structures to a sig flooding as a result of the failure of a			, ,
	Potentially Significant Impact		$\overline{\checkmark}$	Less than Significant Impact
	Less Than Significant With Mitigati Incorporated	on		No Impact
Discuss	sion/Explanation:			
Less Than Significant: These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. The uses allowed pursuant to these Amendments may be located within a mapped dam inundation area for a major dam/reservoir within San Diego County, as identified on an inundation map prepared by the dam owner. However, the San Diego County Office of Emergency Services has an established emergency evacuation plan for the area and the project will not interfere with this plan.				
m) I	nundation by seiche, tsunami, or mu	udflo	w?	
	Potentially Significant Impact		$\overline{\checkmark}$	Less than Significant Impact
	Less Than Significant With Mitigati Incorporated	on		No Impact
Discuss	sion/Explanation:			
i. \$	SEICHE			
Less Than Significant: These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. Reservoirs in San Diego County are for water storage and the land surrounding the reservoirs is owned by the agency that controls the reservoir, and development cannot occur along the shore. Therefore, future uses will not be subject to inundation by seiche.				

ii. TSUNAMI

**Less Than Significant:** These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. A future use allowed pursuant to

CEQA Initial Study, - 33 - October 9, 2008

POD 08-011, Log No. 08-00-005

these Amendments would be located at least 1.8 or more miles from the coast. Therefore, the project will not potentially expose people or structures to inundation due to tsunami.

#### iii. MUDFLOW

Less Than Significant Impact: These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. A mudflow is a type of landslide. If a future use allowed pursuant to these Amendments involved substantial landform modification that may expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects from mudflows, a discretionary grading permit would be required and would require further environmental review. Additionally, future projects involving grading would have to comply with the San Diego County Code of Regulations, Title 8, Zoning and Land Use Regulations, Division 7, Section 87.209 and provide a soils investigation to insure that recommendations to correct weak or unstable soil conditions have been incorporated in the grading plan and specifications. Therefore, there will be no potentially significant impact from the exposure of people or structures inundation by mudflow.

IX. LAND USE AND PLANNING Would the project:				
	Physically divide an established commu			
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact	
Discuss	sion/Explanation:			
No Impact: These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. The uses allowed pursuant to these Amendments do not propose the introduction of new infrastructure such major roadways or water supply systems, or utilities to the area. Therefore, the proposed project will not significantly disrupt or divide the established community.  b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with				
,	urisdiction over the project (including, bolan, local coastal program, or zoning or avoiding or mitigating an environmental	dinan	ce) adopted for the purpose of	
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact	

Discussion/Explanation:

**Less Than Significant Impact:** These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. The uses allowed pursuant to

POD 08-011, Log No. 08-00-005

these Amendments would affect land zoned commercial, agricultural and residential throughout the county, which are consistent with a number of General Plan Land Use Designations. The project is consistent with the General Plan because these uses are anticipated by these Land Use Designations that provide for commerce, agriculture residences and their accessory uses and are consistent with the appropriate Use Regulations. A future use may be located throughout the unincorporated areas of the County and would be subject to the policies of the appropriate Community Plan. None of the County's Community Plans include policies that discourage or conflict with any of these uses. Therefore, the project will not conflict with the any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project.

X. MINERAL RESOURCES	Would	the t	project
----------------------	-------	-------	---------

<u> </u>	TEITH TO BE STOP	JUL.		
a)	Result in the loss of availability of a knowledge to the region and the residents of			
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact	

Discussion/Explanation:

Less Than Significant Impact: These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. A future use allowed pursuant to these Amendments may be located on land that has any of the following classifications as identified by the State Department of Conservation, Division of Mines and Geology (Update of Mineral Land Classification: Aggregate Materials in the Western San Diego Production-Consumption Region, 1997): Mineral Land Classification MRZ-1, which are lands located within an area where geologic information indicates no significant mineral deposits are present; MRZ-2 which is an area of "Identified Mineral Resource Significance"; or MRZ-3 which is an area of undetermined mineral resources. Also, the project site may be located within a region where geologic information indicates significant mineral deposits are present as identified on the County of San Diego's Mineral Resources Map prepared by the County of San Diego. Based on the scale and/or the economic value of future projects, the proposed amendments will not result in the future inaccessibility for recovery of the on-site mineral resources. Therefore, no potentially significant loss of availability of a known mineral resource of value to the region and the residents of the state will occur as a result of this project. Moreover, if the resources are not considered significant mineral deposits, loss of these resources cannot contribute to a potentially significant cumulative impact. A future mining operation at a project site would likely create a significant impact to neighboring properties for issues such as noise, air quality, traffic, and possibly other impacts. Therefore, implementation of the project will not result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value since the mineral resource has already been lost due to incompatible land uses.

b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?

	Initial Study, 3-011, Log No. 08-00-005	- 35 -		October 9, 2008
	Potentially Significant Impact		$\overline{\checkmark}$	Less than Significant Impact
	Less Than Significant With Mitiga Incorporated	tion		No Impact
Discuss	sion/Explanation:			
revision and/or t the prop on-site known i delinear	han Significant Impact: These As, corrections and clarifications to the economic value of the projects bosed amendments will not result imineral resources. Therefore, no paineral resource of locally importated on a local general plan, specific f this project.	the Z that v n the poten nt mir	oning would future tially s neral r	Ordinance. Based on the scale be allowed with these new uses, inaccessibility for recovery of the significant loss of availability of a resource recovery (extraction) site
a) E	ISE Would the project result in: Exposure of persons to or generationstablished in the local general plant of other agencies?			
	Potentially Significant Impact			Less than Significant Impact
	Less Than Significant With Mitiga Incorporated	tion	V	No Impact
Discuss	sion/Explanation:			
clarifica Amenda will not the Cou	unty of San Diego Noise Element o Ordinance, and other applicable loc	e nevenera noise	v uses ting e level: Genei	s allowed pursuant to these quipment. Therefore, the project s that exceed the allowable limits of ral Plan, County of San Diego
,	Exposure of persons to or generation groundborne noise levels?	on of	exces	sive groundborne vibration or
	Potentially Significant Impact			Less than Significant Impact
	Less Than Significant With Mitiga Incorporated	ition	$\checkmark$	No Impact
Discuss	sion/Explanation:			

**No Impact:** These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. Future uses allowed pursuant to these Amendments do not propose any of the following land uses that can be impacted by groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels.

- 1. Buildings where low ambient vibration is essential for interior operation, including research and manufacturing facilities with special vibration constraints.
- 2. Residences and buildings where people normally sleep including hotels, hospitals, residences and where low ambient vibration is preferred.
- 3. Civic and institutional land uses including schools, churches, libraries, other institutions, and quiet office where low ambient vibration is preferred.
- 4. Concert halls for symphonies or other special use facilities where low ambient vibration is preferred.

Also, the project does not propose any major, new or expanded infrastructure such as mass transit, highways or major roadways or intensive extractive industry that could generate excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels on-site or in the surrounding area.

c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?			
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discussion/Explanation:			
<b>No Impact:</b> These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. The new uses allowed pursuant to these Amendments, do not support any noise-generating equipment. Therefore, the project would not result in a substantial permanent increase in existing ambient noise levels in the project vicinity.			
d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?			
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discussion/Explanation:			

**No Impact:** These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. The new uses allowed pursuant to these Amendments, do not support any noise-generating equipment. Also, the temporary increase over existing ambient levels for general construction noise is not expected to exceed the construction noise limits of the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance (Section 36-410), which are derived from State regulation to address human health and quality of life concerns. Construction operations will occur only during permitted hours

	Initial Study, 8-011, Log No. 08-00-005	- 37 -		October 9	, 2008
of operoperate 24-hou	ration pursuant to Section 36-410. e construction equipment in excess r period. Therefore, the project wo c increase in existing ambient nois	s of 75 ould no	dB fo	or more than an 8 hours durin ult in a substantial temporary	g a
,	For a project located within an airp not been adopted, within two miles the project expose people residing noise levels?	of a p	oublic	airport or public use airport, v	would
	Potentially Significant Impact		$\checkmark$	Less than Significant Impact	
	Less Than Significant With Mitiga Incorporated	ation		No Impact	
Discus	sion/Explanation:				
revision pursua Plan (C Howev	Than Significant Impact: These Ans, corrections and clarifications to ant to these Amendments, may be ICLUP) for airports or within 2 miles wer, the uses are not considered no generated by an airport.	the Zocated of a p	oning d with ublic	Ordinance. Future uses allowing a Comprehensive Land Us airport or public use airport.	е
bounda propos project expose	tion, there are no new or expanded aries of the CNEL 60 dB noise consed or expanded, the future airport and provide mitigation for any cune people residing or working in the roject or cumulative level.	tour oi projec nulativ	· CLÜ t wou e imp	P. If a new airport were to be ld consider the specific nearb acts. Therefore, the project v	e y vill not
•	For a project within the vicinity of a people residing or working in the p	•			<b>)</b>
	Potentially Significant Impact		$\checkmark$	Less than Significant Impact	
	Less Than Significant With Mitiga Incorporated	ation		No Impact	
Discus	sion/Explanation:				

Less Than Significant Impact: These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. Future uses allowed pursuant to these Amendments, may be located within a one-mile vicinity of a private airstrip. However, the uses are not considered noise sensitive uses that would be impacted by noise generated by a private airstrip. In addition, there are no new or expanded public airport projects that may extend the boundaries of the CNEL 60 dB noise contour or CLUP. Therefore, the project will not expose people residing or

Less than Significant Impact

No Impact

Potentially Significant Impact

Incorporated

Less Than Significant With Mitigation

**No Impact:** These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. The project proposes these Amendments to allow uses in commercial, agricultural and residential zones subject to specified standards and limitations. No change in numbers of existing people will occur that would necessitate construction of replacement housing. Therefore, the project will not displace a substantial number of people.

# XIII. PUBLIC SERVICES

- a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:
  - i. Fire protection?
  - ii. Police protection?
  - iii. Schools?
  - iv. Parks?
  - v. Other public facilities?

Potentially Significant Impact		Less than Significant Impact
Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	$\checkmark$	No Impact

Discussion/Explanation:

**No Impact:** These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. The project proposes commercial, agricultural and residential uses in the same types zones, the proposed amendments will not result in the need for significantly altered services or facilities. In addition, the project does not involve the construction of new or physically altered governmental facilities including but not limited to fire protection facilities, sheriff facilities, schools, or parks in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance service ratios or objectives for any public services. Therefore, the project will not have an adverse physical effect on the environment because the project does not require new or significantly altered services or facilities to be constructed.

# XIV. RECREATION

Ć	Nould the project increase the use of expression of the contract of the contra	_	•
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation		Less than Significant Impact No Impact

October 9, 2008

Discussion/Explanation:

**No Impact:** These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. The future uses allowed pursuant to these Amendments, do not propose any new residential use, included but not limited to a residential subdivision, mobilehome park, or construction for a single-family residence that may increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities in the vicinity.

b)	Does the project include recreational face expansion of recreational facilities, which on the environment?		•			
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation		Less than Significant Impact			
Ш	Incorporated	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No Impact			
Discus	ssion/Explanation:					
clarific facilitie the co	<b>No Impact:</b> These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. The project does not include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities. Therefore, the construction or expansion of recreational facilities cannot have an adverse physical effect on the environment.					
	RANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC Would t					
a)	Cause an increase in traffic which is sub- load and capacity of the street system (i either the number of vehicle trips, the vo- congestion at intersections)?	.e., re	sult in a substantial increase in			
	Potentially Significant Impact	$\checkmark$	Less than Significant Impact			
	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		No Impact			

Discussion/Explanation:

Less Than Significant Impact: These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. The future uses allowed pursuant to these Amendments will not result in a substantial increase in the number of vehicle trips, volume of capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections in relation to existing conditions. Certified Farmers' Markets are a temporary use and therefore do not generate permanent levels of traffic. Additionally, traffic to Farmers' Markets will mainly consist of pass-by or traffic already generated (people "out shopping") in public and commercial areas. Consequently, a Farmers' Market wouldn't

CEQA Initial Study,	- 41 -	October 9, 2008
POD 08-011, Log No. 08-00-005	, ,	
impact traffic on residential or ag	ricultural roads.	Therefore, the project will not have a

significant direct project impact on traffic volume, which is considered substantial in relation to existing traffic load and capacity of the street system. Also refer to the answer for XV. b. below.

, 	Exceed, either individually or cumulative established by the County congestion may the County of San Diego Transportat roads or highways?	nanage	ement agency and/or as identified
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact

Discussion/Explanation:

Less Than Significant Impact: These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. The future uses allowed pursuant to these Amendments will not generate additional ADT. These future uses will not result in an increase in the level of service standard, volume of capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections in relation to existing conditions. Certified Farmers' Markets are a temporary use and therefore do not generate permanent levels of traffic. Additionally, traffic to Farmers' Markets will mainly consist of pass-by or traffic already generated (people "out shopping") in public and commercial areas. Therefore, the project will not have a significant direct project impact on traffic volume, which is considered substantial in relation to the level of service standard.

Additionally, the County of San Diego has developed an overall programmatic solution that addresses existing and projected future road deficiencies in the unincorporated portion of San Diego County. This program commits the County to construct additional capacity on Circulation Element roadways and includes the adoption of a Transportation Impact Fee (TIF) program to fund improvements to roadways necessary to mitigate potential cumulative impacts caused by traffic from future development. This program is based on a summary of projections method contained in the County of San Diego Transportation Impact Fee Report dated January 2005, and amended February 2008. This document is considered an adopted planning document which meets the definition referenced in the State CEQA Guidelines Section 15130 (b)(1)(B), which evaluates regional or area wide conditions contributing to cumulative transportation impacts. Based on SANDAG regional growth and land use forecasts, the SANDAG Regional Transportation Model was utilized to analyze projected build-out (year 2030) development conditions on the existing circulation element roadway network throughout the unincorporated area of the County. Based on the results of the traffic modeling, public and private funding necessary to construct transportation facilities including capacity enhancing improvements that will mitigate cumulative impacts from new development was identified. Existing roadway deficiencies will be corrected through improvement projects funded by public funding sources, such as TransNet, gas tax, and grants. Potential cumulative impacts to the region's freeways have been addressed in SANDAG's Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). This plan, which considers freeway

	Initial Study, - 42	2 -	October 9, 2008			
buildou	8-011, Log No. 08-00-005 at over the next 30 years, will use fund rove freeways to projected level of ser					
	er to mitigate possible contributions to sary, applicable future uses will pay the	_	•			
	Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?					
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact			
Discus	sion/Explanation:					
correct these A public of place of Therefore includir	Than Significant Impact: These Americions and clarifications to the Zoning Of Amendments may be located within an or private airport. Any structures will be any other commercial, residential or ore, these Amendments will not have any either an increase in traffic levels on the safety risks.	rdinanc Airport e limite agricul a signific	e. Future uses allowed pursuant to Master Plan Zone or adjacent to a d in size and height to limitations tural structure located in the zone. cant impact on air traffic patterns,			
,	ostantially increase hazards due to a d ngerous intersections) or incompatible	_	· • ·			
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact			
Discus	sion/Explanation:					
Less Than Significant: These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. The uses allowed pursuant to these Amendments will not alter traffic patterns, roadway design, place incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment) on existing roadways, or create or place curves, slopes or walls which impede adequate site distance on a road. Public roads used to access a proposed project site are up to County standards. Therefore, these Amendments will not significantly increase hazards due to design features or incompatible uses.						
e)	Result in inadequate emergency acce	ss?				
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact			

Result in inadequate parking capacity?

f١

Less Than Significant: These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. The Fire Authority Having Jurisdiction and/or the Sheriff may require review of a proposed project allowed pursuant to a new use and would require adequate emergency access to a project. Additionally, a use, such as a Certified Farmers' Market, will be located on public property or in a commercial area that already has adequate emergency access as these areas are developed and usually in the vicinity of Fire or Sheriff Stations. A Farmers' Market would require approval by the Fire Authority and the Sheriff for adequate emergency access. Therefore, these Amendments will not result in inadequate emergency access.

'/ '	result in inadequate parking capacity:		
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discuss	sion/Explanation:		
clarifica required pursuar uses wi required	pact: These Amendments include new unitions to the Zoning Ordinance. No add dor proposed as a result of these Amerent to these Amendments should not gential be required to maintain existing parking to have appropriate parking capacity of the market. Thus, parking will notite.	itional ndmen erate ng cap on the	on-site or off-site parking is its. The future uses allowed a need for additional parking. The pacity. A Farmer's Market would be one day a week for the specific
<b>O</b> /	Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or cransportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle		
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discuss	sion/Explanation:		

**Less Than Significant:** These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. The uses allowed pursuant to these Amendments do not propose any hazards or barriers for pedestrians or bicyclists. Any required improvements will be constructed to maintain existing conditions as it relates to pedestrians and bicyclists.

	nitial Study, - 8-011, Log No. 08-00-005	44 -		October 9, 2008
a) E	TILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS Exceed wastewater treatment require Quality Control Board?			
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigat Incorporated	ion	□	Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discuss	sion/Explanation:			
clarifica Amendr sewer o	act: These Amendments include nations to the Zoning Ordinance. The ments do not involve any uses that or on-site wastewater systems (septostewater treatment requirements.	e use will c	s allov lischa	wed pursuant to these rge any wastewater to sanitary
ŕ	Require or result in the construction acilities or expansion of existing facting factions and the construction acidities are resulted in the construction acidities.			
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigat Incorporated	ion	□	Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discuss	sion/Explanation:			
clarifica Amendr In additi Therefo	act: These Amendments include nations to the Zoning Ordinance. The ments do not include new or expansion, the project does not require context, the project will not require any could cause significant environments.	e use ded v nstru const	s allow water of ction of ruction	wed pursuant to these or wastewater treatment facilities. or expansion of such facilities.
É	Require or result in the construction expansion of existing facilities, the cenvironmental effects?			•
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigat Incorporated	ion	□	Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discuss	sion/Explanation:			
No Imp	act: These Amendments include n	new u	ıses. r	ninor revisions, corrections and

clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. The uses allowed pursuant to these Amendments do not include new or expanded storm water drainage facilities. Moreover, the project does not require any source, treatment or structural Best

POD 08 Manag	8-011, Log No. 08-00-005 ement Practices for storm water. T action of new or expanded facilities,		
,	Have sufficient water supplies avail entitlements and resources, or are i		
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigat Incorporated	tion 🔽	Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discus	sion/Explanation:		
clarifica Amend	pact: These Amendments include rations to the Zoning Ordinance. The Iments do not involve or require was sly on water service for any purpose	e uses allo er services	wed pursuant to these
, I	Result in a determination by the wa may serve the project that it has ad projected demand in addition to the	equate cap	pacity to serve the project's
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitiga Incorporated	tion 🗹	Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discus	sion/Explanation:		
clarifica Amend	pact: These Amendments include rations to the Zoning Ordinance. The Iments will not produce any wastew by wastewater treatment provider's s	e future us ater; there	es allowed pursuant to these fore, the project will not interfere
,	Be served by a landfill with sufficier project's solid waste disposal needs	•	d capacity to accommodate the
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitiga Incorporated	tion	Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discus	sion/Explanation:		

Less Than Significant Impact: These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. Some of these new uses will generate solid waste, such as a Farmers' Market. All solid waste facilities, including landfills require solid waste facility permits to operate. In San Diego County, the County Department of Environmental Health, Local Enforcement Agency issues

solid wa Manage (Section Subdivi landfills existing	POD 08-011, Log No. 08-00-005 solid waste facility permits with concurrence from the California Integrated Waste Management Board (CIWMB) under the authority of the Public Resources Code (Sections 44001-44018) and California Code of Regulations Title 27, Division 2, Subdivision 1, Chapter 4 (Section 21440et seq.). There are five, permitted active landfills in San Diego County with remaining capacity. Therefore, there is sufficient existing permitted solid waste capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs.					
•	Comply with federal, state, and local stawaste?	tutes	and regulations related to solid			
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact			
Discuss	sion/Explanation:					
correcti general landfills Departr facility (Board ( 44018) (Section waste f	Less than Significant Impact: These Amendments include new uses, minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to the Zoning Ordinance. Some of these new uses will generate solid waste, such as a Farmers' Market. All solid waste facilities, including landfills require solid waste facility permits to operate. In San Diego County, the County Department of Environmental Health, Local Enforcement Agency issues solid waste facility permits with concurrence from the California Integrated Waste Management Board (CIWMB) under the authority of the Public Resources Code (Sections 44001-44018) and California Code of Regulations Title 27, Division 2, Subdivision 1, Chapter 4 (Section 21440et seq.). The project will deposit all solid waste at a permitted solid waste facility and therefore, will comply with Federal, State, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste.					
XVII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE:  a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?						
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact			
Discuss	Discussion/Explanation:					

- 46 -

October 9, 2008

CEQA Initial Study.

**Less than Significant Impact:** Per the instructions for evaluating environmental impacts in this Initial Study, the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal

POD 08 commu animal prehistorm. In potential are biol	Initial Study, 3-011, Log No. 08-00-005 nity, reduce the number or restrict or eliminate important examples of ory were considered in the respon n addition to project specific impact al for significant cumulative effects ogical or cultural resources that a ore, this project has been determinance.	of the major se to each co cts, this eval s. There is r re affected o	periods of Californiquestion in sections luation considered no substantial evide or associated with t	ia history or so IV and V of this the projects ence that there this project.
a F	Does the project have impacts that considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable when vibrojects, the effects of other current projects)?	siderable" m lewed in cor	eans that the incre nnection with the ef	mental effects of fects of past
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitiga Incorporated	ation	Less than Signific	ant Impact
Discuss	sion/Explanation:			
impacts in the re project effects substar	nan Significant Impact: Per the is in this Initial Study, the potential esponse to each question in section specific impacts, this evaluation of that are cumulatively considerable initial evidence that there are cumulate, this project has been determinance.	for adverse ons I througl onsidered the e. As a resulative effects	cumulative effects h XVI of this form. he projects potentiallt of this evaluations associated with the	were considered In addition to al for incremental n, there is no nis project.

Does the project have environmental effects, which will cause substantial

adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?			
	Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact

Discussion/Explanation:

c)

Less than Significant Impact: In the evaluation of environmental impacts in this Initial Study, the potential for adverse direct or indirect impacts to human beings were considered in the response to certain questions in sections I. Aesthetics, III. Air Quality, VI. Geology and Soils, VII. Hazards and Hazardous Materials, VIII Hydrology and Water Quality XI. Noise, XII. Population and Housing, and XV. Transportation and Traffic. As a result of this evaluation, there is no substantial evidence that there are adverse effects on human beings associated with this project. Therefore, this project has been determined not to meet this Mandatory Finding of Significance.

# XVIII. REFERENCES USED IN THE COMPLETION OF THE INITIAL STUDY CHECKLIST

All references to Federal, State and local regulation are available on the Internet. For Federal regulation refer to <a href="http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/">http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/</a>. For State regulation refer to <a href="http://www.amlegal.com">www.leginfo.ca.gov</a>. For County regulation refer to <a href="http://www.amlegal.com">www.amlegal.com</a>. All other references are available upon request.

#### **AESTHETICS**

- California Street and Highways Code [California Street and Highways Code, Section 260-283. (http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/)
- California Scenic Highway Program, California Streets and Highways Code, Section 260-283. (http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LandArch/scenic/scpr.htm)
- County of San Diego, Department of Planning and Land Use. The Zoning Ordinance of San Diego County. Sections 5200-5299; 5700-5799; 5900-5910, 6322-6326. ((www.co.san-diego.ca.us)
- County of San Diego, Board Policy I-73: Hillside Development Policy. (www.co.san-diego.ca.us)
- County of San Diego, Board Policy I-104: Policy and Procedures for Preparation of Community Design Guidelines, Section 396.10 of the County Administrative Code and Section 5750 et seq. of the County Zoning Ordinance. (www.co.san-diego.ca.us)
- County of San Diego, General Plan, Scenic Highway Element VI and Scenic Highway Program. (ceres.ca.gov)
- County of San Diego Light Pollution Code, Title 5, Division 9 (Sections 59.101-59.115 of the County Code of Regulatory Ordinances) as added by Ordinance No 6900, effective January 18, 1985, and amended July 17, 1986 by Ordinance No. 7155. (<a href="https://www.amlegal.com">www.amlegal.com</a>)
- County of San Diego Wireless Communications Ordinance [San Diego County Code of Regulatory Ordinances. (www.amlegal.com)
- Design Review Guidelines for the Communities of San Diego County. (Alpine, Bonsall, Fallbrook, Julian, Lakeside, Ramona, Spring Valley, Sweetwater, Valley Center).
- Federal Communications Commission, Telecommunications Act of 1996 [Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. LA. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996). (http://www.fcc.gov/Reports/tcom1996.txt)
- Institution of Lighting Engineers, Guidance Notes for the Reduction of Light Pollution, Warwickshire, UK, 2000 (http://www.dark-skies.org/ile-qd-e.htm)
- International Light Inc., Light Measurement Handbook, 1997. (www.intl-light.com)
- Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Lighting Research Center, National Lighting Product Information Program (NLPIP), Lighting Answers, Volume 7, Issue 2, March 2003. (www.lrc.rpi.edu)

- US Census Bureau, Census 2000, Urbanized Area Outline Map, San Diego, CA.

  (http://www.census.gov/geo/www/maps/ua2kmaps.htm)
- US Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management (BLM) modified Visual Management System. (www.blm.gov)
- US Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Visual Impact Assessment for Highway Projects.
- US Department of Transportation, National Highway System Act of 1995 [Title III, Section 304. Design Criteria for the National Highway System.

  (http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/legsregs/nhsdatoc.html)

## **AGRICULTURE RESOURCES**

- California Department of Conservation, Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program, "A Guide to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program," November 1994. (www.consrv.ca.gov)
- California Department of Conservation, Office of Land Conversion, "California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model Instruction Manual," 1997. (www.consrv.ca.gov)
- California Farmland Conservancy Program, 1996. (www.consrv.ca.gov)
- California Land Conservation (Williamson) Act, 1965. (www.ceres.ca.gov, www.consrv.ca.gov)
- California Right to Farm Act, as amended 1996. (www.qp.gov.bc.ca)
- County of San Diego Agricultural Enterprises and Consumer Information Ordinance, 1994, Title 6, Division 3, Ch. 4. Sections 63.401-63.408. (<a href="https://www.amlegal.com">www.amlegal.com</a>)
- County of San Diego, Department of Agriculture, Weights and Measures, "2002 Crop Statistics and Annual Report," 2002. (<a href="www.sdcounty.ca.gov">www.sdcounty.ca.gov</a>)
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resource Conservation Service LESA System. (www.nrcs.usda.gov, www.swcs.org).
- United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Survey for the San Diego Area, California. 1973. (soils.usda.gov)

## **AIR QUALITY**

CEQA Air Quality Analysis Guidance Handbook, South Coast Air Quality Management District, Revised November 1993. (<a href="www.aqmd.gov">www.aqmd.gov</a>)

- County of San Diego Air Pollution Control District's Rules and Regulations, updated August 2003. (<a href="www.co.san-diego.ca.us">www.co.san-diego.ca.us</a>)
- Federal Clean Air Act US Code; Title 42; Chapter 85 Subchapter 1. (<u>www4.law.cornell.edu</u>)

## **BIOLOGY**

- California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG). Southern California Coastal Sage Scrub Natural Community Conservation Planning Process Guidelines. CDFG and California Resources Agency, Sacramento, California. 1993. (<a href="https://www.dfg.ca.gov">www.dfg.ca.gov</a>)
- County of San Diego, An Ordinance Amending the San Diego County Code to Establish a Process for Issuance of the Coastal Sage Scrub Habitat Loss Permits and Declaring the Urgency Thereof to Take Effect Immediately, Ordinance No. 8365. 1994, Title 8, Div 6, Ch. 1. Sections 86.101-86.105, 87.202.2. (www.amlegal.com)
- County of San Diego, Biological Mitigation Ordinance, Ord. Nos. 8845, 9246, 1998 (new series). (<a href="www.co.san-diego.ca.us">www.co.san-diego.ca.us</a>)
- County of San Diego, Implementing Agreement by and between United States Fish and Wildlife Service, California Department of Fish and Game and County of San Diego. County of San Diego, Multiple Species Conservation Program, 1998.
- County of San Diego, Multiple Species Conservation Program, County of San Diego Subarea Plan, 1997.
- Holland, R.R. Preliminary Descriptions of the Terrestrial Natural Communities of California. State of California, Resources Agency, Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento, California, 1986.
- Memorandum of Understanding [Agreement Between United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG), California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CDF), San Diego County Fire Chief's Association and the Fire District's Association of San Diego County.
- Stanislaus Audubon Society, Inc. v County of Stanislaus (5<sup>th</sup> Dist. 1995) 33 Cal.App.4<sup>th</sup> 144, 155-159 [39 Cal. Rptr.2d 54]. (www.ceres.ca.gov)
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Environmental Laboratory. Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Wetlands Research Program Technical Report Y-87-1. 1987. (http://www.wes.army.mil/)
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. America's wetlands: our vital link between land and water. Office of Water, Office of Wetlands, Oceans and Watersheds. EPA843-K-95-001. 1995b. (www.epa.gov)
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and National Marine Fisheries Service. Habitat Conservation Planning Handbook.
   Department of Interior, Washington, D.C. 1996.
   (endangered.fws.gov)
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and National Marine Fisheries Service. Consultation Handbook: Procedures for Conducting Consultation and Conference Activities Under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act. Department of Interior, Washington, D.C. 1998. (endangered.fws.gov)
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Environmental Assessment and Land Protection Plan for the Vernal Pools Stewardship Project. Portland, Oregon. 1997.

- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Vernal Pools of Southern California Recovery Plan. U.S. Department of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Region One, Portland, Oregon, 1998. (ecos.fws.gov)
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Birds of conservation concern 2002. Division of Migratory. 2002. (migratorybirds.fws.gov)

## **CULTURAL RESOURCES**

- California Health & Safety Code. §18950-18961, State Historic Building Code. (<a href="www.leginfo.ca.gov">www.leginfo.ca.gov</a>)
- California Health & Safety Code. §5020-5029, Historical Resources. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- California Health & Safety Code. §7050.5, Human Remains. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- California Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act, (AB 978), 2001. (<a href="www.leginfo.ca.gov">www.leginfo.ca.gov</a>)
- California Public Resources Code §5024.1, Register of Historical Resources. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- California Public Resources Code. §5031-5033, State Landmarks. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- California Public Resources Code. §5097-5097.6, Archaeological, Paleontological, and Historic Sites. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- California Public Resources Code. §5097.9-5097.991, Native American Heritage. (<a href="www.leginfo.ca.gov">www.leginfo.ca.gov</a>)
- City of San Diego. Paleontological Guidelines. (revised) August 1998.
- County of San Diego, Local Register of Historical Resources (Ordinance 9493), 2002. (<a href="https://www.co.san-diego.ca.us">www.co.san-diego.ca.us</a>)
- Demere, Thomas A., and Stephen L. Walsh. Paleontological Resources San Diego County. Department of Paleontology, San Diego Natural History Museum. 1994.
- Moore, Ellen J. Fossil Mollusks of San Diego County. San Diego Society of Natural history. Occasional; Paper 15. 1968.
- U.S. Code including: American Antiquities Act (16 USC §431-433) 1906. Historic Sites, Buildings, and Antiquities Act (16 USC §461-467), 1935. Reservoir Salvage Act (16 USC §469-469c) 1960. Department of Transportation Act (49 USC §303) 1966. National Historic Preservation Act (16 USC §470 et seq.) 1966. National Environmental Policy Act (42 USC §4321) 1969. Coastal Zone Management Act (16 USC §1451) 1972. National Marine Sanctuaries Act (16 USC §1431) 1972. Archaeological and Historical Preservation Act (16 USC §469-469c) 1974. Federal Land Policy and Management Act (43 USC §35) 1976. American Indian Religious Freedom Act (42 USC §1996 and 1996a) 1978. Archaeological Resources Protection Act (16 USC §470aa-mm) 1979. Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (25 USC §3001-3013) 1990. Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act (23 USC §101, 109) 1991. American Battlefield Protection Act (16 USC 469k) 1996. (www4.law.cornell.edu)

#### **GEOLOGY & SOILS**

California Department of Conservation, Division of Mines and Geology, California Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Act, Special Publication 42, Revised 1997. (www.consrv.ca.gov)

- California Department of Conservation, Division of Mines and Geology, Fault-Rupture Hazard Zones in California, Special Publication 42, revised 1997. (<a href="https://www.consrv.ca.gov">www.consrv.ca.gov</a>)
- California Department of Conservation, Division of Mines and Geology, Special Publication 117, Guidelines for Evaluating and Mitigating Seismic Hazards in California, 1997. (<a href="https://www.consrv.ca.gov">www.consrv.ca.gov</a>)
- County of San Diego Code of Regulatory Ordinances Title 6, Division 8, Chapter 3, Septic Ranks and Seepage Pits. (www.amlegal.com)
- County of San Diego Department of Environmental Health, Land and Water Quality Division, February 2002. On-site Wastewater Systems (Septic Systems): Permitting Process and Design Criteria. (www.sdcounty.ca.gov)
- County of San Diego Natural Resource Inventory, Section 3, Geology.
- United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Survey for the San Diego Area, California. 1973. (soils.usda.gov)

## **HAZARDS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS**

- American Planning Association, Zoning News, "Saving Homes from Wildfires: Regulating the Home Ignition Zone," May 2001.
- California Building Code (CBC), Seismic Requirements, Chapter 16 Section 162. (www.buildersbook.com)
- California Education Code, Section 17215 and 81033. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- California Government Code. § 8585-8589, Emergency Services Act. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- California Hazardous Waste and Substances Site List. April 1998. (<a href="https://www.dtsc.ca.gov">www.dtsc.ca.gov</a>)
- California Health & Safety Code Chapter 6.95 and §25117 and §25316. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- California Health & Safety Code § 2000-2067. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- California Health & Safety Code. §17922.2. Hazardous Buildings. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- California Public Utilities Code, SDCRAA. Public Utilities Code, Division 17, Sections 170000-170084. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- California Resources Agency, "OES Dam Failure Inundation Mapping and Emergency Procedures Program", 1996. (ceres.ca.gov)
- County of San Diego, Consolidated Fire Code Health and Safety Code §13869.7, including Ordinances of the 17 Fire Protection Districts as Ratified by the San Diego County Board of Supervisors, First Edition, October 17, 2001 and Amendments to the Fire Code portion of the State Building Standards Code, 1998 Edition.
- County of San Diego, Department of Environmental Health Community Health Division Vector Surveillance and Control. Annual Report for Calendar Year 2002. March 2003. (<a href="www.sdcounty.ca.gov">www.sdcounty.ca.gov</a>)
- County of San Diego, Department of Environmental Health, Hazardous Materials Division. California Accidental Release Prevention Program (CalARP) Guidelines. (http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/, www.oes.ca.gov)

- County of San Diego, Department of Environmental Health, Hazardous Materials Division. Hazardous Materials Business Plan Guidelines. (<a href="www.sdcounty.ca.gov">www.sdcounty.ca.gov</a>)
- County of San Diego Code of Regulatory Ordinances, Title 3, Div 5, CH. 3, Section 35.39100.030, Wildland/Urban Interface Ordinance, Ord. No.9111, 2000. (www.amlegal.com)
- Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency
  Assistance Act as amended October 30, 2000, US Code,
  Title 42, Chapter 68, 5121, et seq.
  (www4.law.cornell.edu)
- Unified San Diego County Emergency Services Organization Operational Area Emergency Plan, March 2000.
- Unified San Diego County Emergency Services Organization Operational Area Energy Shortage Response Plan, June 1995.
- Uniform Building Code. (www.buildersbook.com)
- Uniform Fire Code 1997 edition published by the Western Fire Chiefs Association and the International Conference of Building Officials, and the National Fire Protection Association Standards 13 &13-D, 1996 Edition, and 13-R, 1996 Edition. (www.buildersbook.com)

#### **HYDROLOGY & WATER QUALITY**

- American Planning Association, Planning Advisory Service Report Number 476 Non-point Source Pollution: A Handbook for Local Government
- California Department of Water Resources, California Water Plan Update. Sacramento: Dept. of Water Resources State of California. 1998. (rubicon.water.ca.gov)
- California Department of Water Resources, California's Groundwater Update 2003 Bulletin 118, April 2003. (www.groundwater.water.ca.gov)
- California Department of Water Resources, Water Facts, No. 8, August 2000. (<a href="https://www.dpla2.water.ca.gov">www.dpla2.water.ca.gov</a>)
- California Disaster Assistance Act. Government Code, § 8680-8692. (<a href="https://www.leginfo.ca.gov">www.leginfo.ca.gov</a>)
- California State Water Resources Control Board, NPDES General Permit Nos. CAS000001 INDUSTRIAL ACTIVITIES (97-03-DWQ) and CAS000002 Construction Activities (No. 99-08-DWQ) (www.swrcb.ca.gov)
- California Storm Water Quality Association, California Storm Water Best Management Practice Handbooks, 2003.
- California Water Code, Sections 10754, 13282, and 60000 et seq. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- Colorado River Basin Regional Water Quality Control Board, Region 7, Water Quality Control Plan. (www.swrcb.ca.gov)
- County of San Diego Regulatory Ordinance, Title 8, Division 7, Grading Ordinance. Grading, Clearing and Watercourses. (<a href="www.amlegal.com">www.amlegal.com</a>)
- County of San Diego, Groundwater Ordinance. #7994. (www.sdcounty.ca.gov, http://www.amlegal.com/,)
- County of San Diego, Project Clean Water Strategic Plan, 2002. (<a href="www.projectcleanwater.org">www.projectcleanwater.org</a>)
- County of San Diego, Watershed Protection, Storm Water Management, and Discharge Control Ordinance, Ordinance Nos. 9424 and 9426. Chapter 8, Division 7, Title 6 of the San Diego County Code of Regulatory Ordinances and amendments. (www.amlegal.com)

- County of San Diego. Board of Supervisors Policy I-68. Diego Proposed Projects in Flood Plains with Defined Floodways. (<a href="https://www.co.san-diego.ca.us">www.co.san-diego.ca.us</a>)
- Federal Water Pollution Control Act (Clean Water Act), 1972, Title 33, Ch.26, Sub-Ch.1. (www4.law.cornell.edu)
- Freeze, Allan and Cherry, John A., Groundwater, Prentice-Hall, Inc. New Jersey, 1979.
- Heath, Ralph C., Basic Ground-Water Hydrology, United States Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper; 2220, 1991.
- National Flood Insurance Act of 1968. (www.fema.gov)
- National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994.

(www.fema.gov)

- Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act, California Water Code Division 7. Water Quality. (<a href="mailto:ceres.ca.gov">ceres.ca.gov</a>)
- San Diego Association of Governments, Water Quality Element, Regional Growth Management Strategy, 1997. (www.sandag.org
- San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board, NPDES Permit No. CAS0108758. (www.swrcb.ca.gov)
- San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board, Water Quality Control Plan for the San Diego Basin. (www.swrcb.ca.gov)

#### **LAND USE & PLANNING**

- California Department of Conservation Division of Mines and Geology, Open File Report 96-04, Update of Mineral Land Classification: Aggregate Materials in the Western San Diego County Production Consumption Region, 1996. (www.consrv.ca.gov)
- California Environmental Quality Act, CEQA Guidelines, 2003. (ceres.ca.gov)
- California Environmental Quality Act, Public Resources Code 21000-21178; California Code of Regulations, Guidelines for Implementation of CEQA, Appendix G, Title 14, Chapter 3, §15000-15387. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- California General Plan Glossary of Terms, 2001. (ceres.ca.gov)
- California State Mining and Geology Board, SP 51, California Surface Mining and Reclamation Policies and Procedures, January 2000. (<a href="https://www.consrv.ca.gov">www.consrv.ca.gov</a>)
- County of San Diego Code of Regulatory Ordinances, Title 8, Zoning and Land Use Regulations. (<a href="www.amlegal.com">www.amlegal.com</a>)
- County of San Diego, Board of Supervisors Policy I-84: Project Facility. (<u>www.sdcounty.ca.gov</u>)
- County of San Diego, Board Policy I-38, as amended 1989. (www.sdcounty.ca.gov)
- County of San Diego, Department of Planning and Land Use. The Zoning Ordinance of San Diego County. (www.co.san-diego.ca.us)
- County of San Diego, General Plan as adopted and amended from September 29, 1971 to April 5, 2000. (ceres.ca.gov)
- County of San Diego. Resource Protection Ordinance, compilation of Ord.Nos. 7968, 7739, 7685 and 7631. 1991.
- Design Review Guidelines for the Communities of San Diego County.

Guide to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) by Michael H. Remy, Tina A. Thomas, James G. Moore, and Whitman F. Manley, Point Arena, CA: Solano Press Books, 1999. (ceres.ca.gov)

## MINERAL RESOURCES

- National Environmental Policy Act, Title 42, 36.401 et. seq. 1969. (www4.law.cornell.edu)
- Subdivision Map Act, 2003. (ceres.ca.gov)
- U.S. Geologic Survey, Causey, J. Douglas, 1998, MAS/MILS Mineral Location Database.
- U.S. Geologic Survey, Frank, David G., 1999, (MRDS) Mineral Resource Data System.

#### NOISE

- California State Building Code, Part 2, Title 24, CCR, Appendix Chapter 3, Sound Transmission Control, 1988. . (www.buildersbook.com)
- County of San Diego Code of Regulatory Ordinances, Title 3, Div 6, Chapter 4, Noise Abatement and Control, effective February 4, 1982. (www.amlegal.com)
- County of San Diego General Plan, Part VIII, Noise Element, effective December 17, 1980. (ceres.ca.gov)
- Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Aviation Regulations, Part 150 Airport Noise Compatibility Planning (revised January 18, 1985). (http://www.access.gpo.gov/)
- Harris Miller Miller and Hanson Inc., *Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment*, April 1995. (http://ntl.bts.gov/data/rail05/rail05.html)
- International Standard Organization (ISO), ISO 362; ISO 1996 1-3; ISO 3095; and ISO 3740-3747. (www.iso.ch)
- U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Office of Environment and Planning, Noise and Air Quality Branch. "Highway Traffic Noise Analysis and Abatement Policy and Guidance," Washington, D.C., June 1995. (http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/)

# **POPULATION & HOUSING**

- Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, 42 USC 5309, Title 42--The Public Health And Welfare, Chapter 69--Community Development, United States Congress, August 22, 1974. (<a href="https://www4.law.cornell.edu">www4.law.cornell.edu</a>)
- National Housing Act (Cranston-Gonzales), Title 12, Ch. 13. (www4.law.cornell.edu)
- San Diego Association of Governments Population and Housing Estimates, November 2000. (www.sandag.org)
- US Census Bureau, Census 2000. (http://www.census.gov/)

#### RECREATION

County of San Diego Code of Regulatory Ordinances, Title 8, Division 10, Chapter PLDO, §810.101 et seq. Park Lands Dedication Ordinance. (<a href="www.amlegal.com">www.amlegal.com</a>)

## TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC

- California Aeronautics Act, Public Utilities Code, Section 21001 et seq. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- California Department of Transportation, Division of Aeronautics, California Airport Land Use Planning Handbook, January 2002.
- California Department of Transportation, Environmental Program Environmental Engineering Noise, Air Quality,

- and Hazardous Waste Management Office. "Traffic Noise Analysis Protocol for New Highway Construction and Reconstruction Projects," October 1998. (www.dot.ca.gov)
- California Public Utilities Code, SDCRAA. Public Utilities Code, Division 17, Sections 170000-170084. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- California Street and Highways Code. California Street and Highways Code, Section 260-283. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- County of San Diego, Alternative Fee Schedules with Pass-By Trips Addendum to Transportation Impact Fee Reports, March 2005. (http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/dpw/land/pdf/TransImpactFee/attacha.pdf)
- County of San Diego Transportation Impact Fee Report. January 2005. (<a href="http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/dpw/permits-forms/manuals.html">http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/dpw/permits-forms/manuals.html</a>)
- Fallbrook & Ramona Transportation Impact Fee Report, County of San Diego, January 2005. (<a href="http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/dpw/permits-forms/manuals.html">http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/dpw/permits-forms/manuals.html</a>)
- Office of Planning, Federal Transit Administration, Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, Final Report, April 1995.
- San Diego Association of Governments, 2020 Regional Transportation Plan. Prepared by the San Diego Association of Governments. (<a href="www.sandag.org">www.sandag.org</a>)
- San Diego Association of Governments, Comprehensive Land Use Plan for Borrego Valley Airport (1986), Brown Field (1995), Fallbrook Community Airpark (1991), Gillespie Field (1989), McClellan-Palomar Airport (1994). (www.sandag.org)
- US Code of Federal Regulations, Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR), Objects Affecting Navigable Airspace, Title 14, Chapter 1, Part 77. (www.gpoaccess.gov)

#### **UTILITIES & SERVICE SYSTEMS**

- California Code of Regulations (CCR), Title 14. Natural Resources Division, CIWMB Division 7; and Title 27, Environmental Protection Division 2, Solid Waste. (ccr.oal.ca.gov)
- California Integrated Waste Management Act. Public Resources Code, Division 30, Waste Management, Sections 40000-41956. (<a href="www.leginfo.ca.gov">www.leginfo.ca.gov</a>)
- County of San Diego, Board of Supervisors Policy I-78: Small Wastewater. (<a href="www.sdcounty.ca.gov">www.sdcounty.ca.gov</a>)
- Unified San Diego County Emergency Services Organization Annex T Emergency Water Contingencies, October 1992. (www.co.san-diego.ca.us)
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resource Conservation Service LESA System.
- United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Survey for the San Diego Area, California. 1973.
- US Census Bureau, Census 2000.
- US Code of Federal Regulations, Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR), Objects Affecting Navigable Airspace, Title 14, Chapter 1, Part 77.
- US Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management (BLM) modified Visual Management System.

US Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Visual Impact Assessment for Highway Projects.